

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.34805 of 2019
Date of Decision : 29.11.2019.

Vikas Deep Singh Kohli

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel contends that as against 190 posts of Assistant District Attorney, advertised by the respondent-Commission vide advertisement Annexure P/1 and P/2, 45 posts were meant for scheduled caste category, out of which as against 45 candidates recommended vide Annexure P/4 and P/5 dated 23.04.2019 and 02.05.2019, only 42 joined resultantly three posts remained vacant but the petitioner has not been appointed against one of the resultant vacancies despite entitlement and legal notice Annexure P/11 dated 12.10.2019. Learned counsel contends that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in the legal notice Annexure P/11 dated 12.10.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in legal notice Annexure P/11 dated 12.10.2019, in accordance with law, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

29.11.2019.
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No