

Crl. Writ Petition No. 1199 of 2019  
Date of Decision: November 29, 2019

Karishma Rana and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Kirti Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioners

**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners allege that they have performed their marriage against the wishes of their parents and thus, they fear for their lives and liberty. A representation dated 25.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) has been submitted to respondent No. 2 but he has not taken action thereupon.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide representation dated 25.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) and to provide necessary protection in case the facts of the case so dictate.

It is made clear that this order is not a bar on initiation of any proceedings in accordance with law nor is it an expression of opinion regarding the validity or otherwise of the marriage.

November 29, 2019

*reena*

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**