

111.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-997-2019

Date of decision: 29.11.2019

TOSHANI

... Petitioner

versus

SHUBHRANTA NAIK

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner-husband has filed the present revision petition against the judgment dated 04.10.2019 passed by the learned Principal District Judge, Family Court, Gurugram, whereby her petition for grant of maintenance under Section 125 Cr.P.C. was dismissed.

The learned Family Court, while considering the fact that the petitioner is an educated, working woman and is earning for herself, moreover, the husband (respondent) is bearing the responsibility of the minor child, has declined her prayer for grant of maintenance vide order dated 04.10.2019.

It is the aforesaid order which has been challenged through the present revision petition.

After hearing the counsel for the petitioner and perusing the case file, this Court finds that there is no infirmity in the order passed by the learned Family Court. As regard the contention of counsel for the petitioner

that the petitioner is inclined to stay with the respondent, she has a remedy to avail. Furthermore, a son born out of the marriage between the petitioner and respondent, is otherwise staying with the respondent at Odisha.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

29.11.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No