

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 34634 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 28.11.2019**

Harpreet Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. A. K. Khunger, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein contends that petitioner competed for the post of Jail Warden and appeared for his Physical Measurement Test conducted in the year 2012 by the Recruitment Board, wherein his height was recorded as 5'11". In view of the direction issued by this Court vide judgment dated 17.12.2016 (Annexure P-2), height of the petitioner was again re-measured. Thereafter the merit list of the candidates category wise issued by the official respondents on 07.12.2017. List of General Category for the post of Jail Warden issued by the official respondents is annexed as Annexure P-4. According to the said list, the name of the petitioner is at Serial No.310. He further contends that height of the petitioner has

been wrongly measured as 5 feet 10.75 inch and the petitioner has been awarded 13 marks for height. As a matter of fact, the height of the petitioner is 5 feet 11 inch as measured by the same authority and according to the standing order, petitioner is entitled for 14 marks for height. The petitioner has been awarded total 28.38 marks whereas he is entitled for 29.38 marks.

2. Grievance of the petitioner is that due to wrong measurement of his height, he has been given 13 marks as against 14 deserved by him for his height.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that owing to the wrong measurement of height, petitioner has been denied a fair opportunity of being selected as Jail Warden.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance service of copy of petition, Mr. P. S. Bajwa, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, appears on behalf of the respondents.

6. Given the nature of order being passed, no further proceedings or pleadings are required. In view of the conflicting measurement of height of the petitioner, the respondents are directed to get the petitioner's height re-measured by constituting an appropriate Committee.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is willing to undergo fresh measurement under videography at his own costs, as assessed by the respondents.

He further contends that in a similar matter, this Court had passed an order dated 18.10.2019 in CWP-30158-2019 contained

at Annexure P-6 directing height to be remeasured and the candidate therein yet to be remeasured.

8. In these circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to get the petitioner's height re-measured along with the other candidate in CWP No.30158 of 2019.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 28, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No