

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CRM-M-54651-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 4.4.2019

Krishan Kumar

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below accepting the cancellation report and declining the protest petition filed by the petitioner.

The allegations made by the petitioner were that he had obtained a vehicle on loan from the private respondents and he was paying his instalments regularly but on 3.10.2008 the vehicle was snatched and he was kidnapped and amount was forcibly taken from him. His signatures and thumb impression were also obtained on the blank paper and he was thrown out. The petitioner filed a complaint which was referred under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C FIR No. 207 dated 15.11.2007 registered at P.S. Ellenabad under Sections 397, 398, 366, 323, 420, 467, 506, 120-B against the private respondents. Counsel for the petitioner further states that the respondents No. 6 to 8 were in collusion with the vehicle manufacturer and for about 4-5 months they kept the matter lingering and ultimately they also obtained

signatures on blank papers from the petitioner and then the vehicle was returned to him. After some days on 12.2.2010 again he was abducted and the vehicle was again snatched and he was released from their custody on the intervention of ASI Bhola Ram. The Trial Court held that in support of this allegation there is no other evidence except the bald statement made by the complainant; no witness was examined; even those who had been specifically mentioned were not summoned; and dismissed the complaint. Revisional Court having also dismissed the complaint, the petitioner is before this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to explain how the findings of the Courts below are so perverse as to be liable to be interfered with in the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands dismissed.

4.4.2019
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No