

COCN No. 3958 of 2019

DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 18, 2019

PARVEEN KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SHATRUJEET KAPOOR AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The petitioner filed a writ petition challenging the order dated 25.06.2019 whereby his claim for deemed date of promotion was rejected. The petition was allowed on 05.09.2019 and the impugned order was set aside. The Chief Engineer 'OP', UHBVNL, Panchkula was directed to decide the representation afresh after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

The present petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the directions of this Court.

Reply filed by way of an affidavit of Sh. A.K. Raheja, Chief Engineer 'OP' UHBVNL, Panchkula is taken on record, copy handed over to the counsel for the petitioner. Alongwith the reply an order dated 13.12.2019 is annexed whereby the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner is wrongly rejected. He further submits that similarly situated employees were given the deemed date of appointment whereas, the petitioner is denied the same.

The said aspect cannot be gone into in the contempt proceedings. The directions of this Court was only to decide the representation afresh by affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, the needful is done by respondents.

No further interference is called for.

The present petition is disposed of.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

Petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law against the order dated 13.12.2019.

DECEMBER 18, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>