

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108(2)

**ITA No.8520 of 2018 (O&M)
Decided on : 06.05.2019**

The Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax, Rohtak

. . . Appellant

Versus

M/s Dayanand Contractor, VPO Kharainti, Rohtak

. . . Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Sr. Standing Counsel
for the appellant-Revenue.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant-revenue states that since the tax effect involved is ₹ 47,86,600/-, he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal in view of the Circular No.03/2018, dated 11th July, 2018, issued by the C.B.D.T., New Delhi. However, he prayed that liberty be granted to the Revenue to file an application for revival of the appeal, in case something survives therein.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is, however, clarified that withdrawal of the appeal by the Revenue shall not be taken to be affirmation of order of the Tribunal on merits. Further, the legal issue as claimed by the Revenue is being left open to be adjudicated in an appropriate case.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

May 06, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No