

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CWP No.34635 of 2019

Date of Decision : 28.11.2019

Satyavir Singh Yadav and others

....Petitioners

v/s

The State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Jasbir Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner No.1 is working as Lecturer in Mathematics, while petitioner Nos.2 & 3 are working as Lecturers in English and respondent No.4 is working as Lecturer in Fine Arts respectively in DIET at Mahendergarh / Sonapat and despite the names of the petitioners having been recommended for State Award 2019 by the Principal, DIET to the District Education Officer and by the District Education Officer to the Director General, Secondary Education vide Annexures P-5 & P-6, no decision has been taken on the same although, other teachers were given the State Award on Teachers Day.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the petitioners would be satisfied, if they are permitted to submit a representation to respondent No.2 and directions are issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation on receipt, in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

[3] Without commenting upon the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed***

of, by granting liberty to the petitioners to submit a representation to respondent No.2 within 03 weeks from date of receipt of certified copy of order. On receipt of the representation within the stipulated period of time, respondent No.2 shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law within a period of 02 months thereafter.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

November 28, 2019
‘Rajneesh’

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No