

103
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/1/2019 15:30
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM No. M-16-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.1.2019

Ajay Sharma

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate,
for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

A case under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act, 1914, read with Section 420 IPC was registered against petitioner on the basis of secret information. The petitioner was alleged to be smuggling liquor from Chandigarh to Punjab. On raid 20 boxes of country made illicit liquor were recovered, but present petitioner fled away from the spot.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that in this case, recovery has been effected and custodial interrogation of petitioner is not required.

However, I am of the view that previous activities of petitioner regarding smuggling of liquor from one State to another are also to be investigated, for which custodial interrogation of petitioner is required. No ground to grant anticipatory bail is made out. Petition is thus dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.1.2019

sjks

Whether speaking order	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No