

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.111

CRM-M-50429 of 2019

DECIDED ON: November 27, 2019

RUPINDER KAUR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Anureet S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that respondents No.5 & 6 in connivance with respondent No.4 are harassing her on account of the fact that she is in possession of a plot of land, the possession of which was given to her by its lawful owner. A representation (Annexure P-2) has been submitted in this regard to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts the notice on behalf of the State-respondents No.1 to 3. Three copies of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve respondent No.4, nor any written statement is required.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation (Annexure P-2) and take action thereupon in accordance with law, in case, felt necessary, within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

November 27, 2019
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No