

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 1 of 2019 (O&M) (56 cases)

Date of Decision: 03.04.2019

Gurwinder Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Balwinder Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. S.K. Bokolia, Advocate in COCP-634-2019 and for
Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate in COCPs-1, 55-2019
Ms. Arshdeep Kaur, Advocate in COCPs-9, 67, 69, 70 to 75,
80 and 133
Mr. P.S. Mirpur, Advocate in COCP-3-2019
Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate in COCP-4-2019
Mr. Bikramjit Aroua, Advocate in COCPs-10 and 11-2019
Mr. K.S. Pannu, Advocate in COCP-12007-2018
Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate in COCP-7-2019
Ms. Manpinder Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. P.P.S. Duggal, Advocate in COCP-14-2019
Mr. R.S. Sekhon, Advocate in COCP-8-2019
Mr. Sukhjot Singh, Advocate in COCPs-141 to 144 and
146 to 148-2019
Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate in COCPs-98, 209, 210-2019
Mr. Vipin Sharma, Advocate in COCP-64-2019
Mr. G.S. Kaura, Advocate in COCP-65-2019
Mr. G.S. Randhawa, Advocate in COCP-218-2019
Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate in COCP-160-2019
Mr. Abhinav Oberoi, Advocate in COCP-215-2019
Ms. Sakshi Saini, Advocate for
Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate in COCPs-60 and 62-2019.
Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for
Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate in COCP-97-2019
Mr. R.K. Garg, Advocate in COCPs-49, 110 and 524-2019.
Mr. Angrej Kumar, Advocate in COCP-345-2019
Mr. Sanjeev Patiyal, Advocate in COCP-350-2019
Mr. Vishneet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Majithia, Advocate in COCPs-359 and 456-2019
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate in COCP-425-2019
Mr. Maneet Kumar Arya, Advocate in COCP No.131-2019
Mr. Bhisham Kumar Majoka, Advocate in COCP-771-2019
Mr. Baldev S.Sidhu, Advocate in COCP-1126-2019
Ms. Neelam Garg, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Singla, Advocate in COCP-1141-2019
Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate in COCP-371-2019
Mr. T.N. Sarup, Advocate in COCP-198-2019
Mr. J.S. Gill, Advocate in COCP-138-2019
Mr. Maneesh Mittal, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate in COCP-47-2019
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. N.K. Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in COCP-1-2019,
for respondent No.3 in COCP-4-2019,
for respondent No.5 in COCP-350-2019 and
respondent No.2 in COCP-634-2019.

Mr. Sukhpreet Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in COCP-3-2019.

Mr. Sukhveer S.Killianwali, Advocate with
Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in COCPs-7, 10, 11, 47 and 160 of 2019.

Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in COCP-425-2019

Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.3 in COCP-11-2019.

Mr. J.S. Mahal, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in COCP-110-2019.

Mr. Manish Joshi, Advocate for
respondents No.3 and 4 in COCP-209 & 210-2019.

Mr. Kanisth, Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of bunch of contempt petitions bearing COCP Nos.1, 75, 69, 67, 9, 70 to 72, 74, 80, 133, 10, 7, 14, 8, 73, 141 to 144, 146 to 148, 209, 65, 64, 218, 160, 98, 215, 62, 60, 210, 97, 110, 345, 350, 359, 456, 425, 634, 524, 131, 771, 1126, 1141, 371, 198, 138, 55, 49, 47, 11, 3, 4 of 2019 and No.12007 of 2018 as common question of law and facts are involved therein. All arise out of the common order dated 24.12.2018 passed by the Division Bench of this Court, vide which, direction was issued to the competent authorities throughout the State of Punjab wherever jurisdiction vests in different petitions to consider the representation(s) of the petitioners within a period of 48 hours.

Notice was issued to the respondents in each of these cases.

Today, separate replies have been filed in number of cases. The same are taken on record. In some cases, replies have not been filed but reply in the leading case bearing COCP No.1 of 2019 can be read in all cases. Copies given to learned counsel for the petitioners. As per the reply filed in the said case, the respondents filed the review applications on 27.12.2018. The said review application and other connected review applications were allowed, vide order dated 05.03.2019 passed by the Division Bench and the order of the Writ Court dated 24.12.2018 was recalled with a clarification that upon the rejection of nomination papers the remedy available to the writ petitioners was filing of election petitions.

In view of the same, this Court finds no reason to proceed with the contempt petitions any further. The same are accordingly dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondent(s) stands discharged.

Miscellaneous application(s), if any have been rendered infructuous and the same are disposed of as such.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

03.04.2019
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No