

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.40657 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.01.2019

Mrs. Poonam Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Mukesh Mehra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Petitioner is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to declare her result.

Learned State counsel has produced the OMR sheet of the petitioner, a perusal of which shows that question No.2 is smudged. This answer sheet is being returned in original.

As per condition No.7 of the OMR sheet (Annexure P-3), use of eraser, nail, blade, white fluid/whitener etc. To smudge, scratch, damage in any amnner on the OMR answer sheet during examination is strictly prohibited and its use anywhere shall lead to cancellation and such OMR answer sheet shall not be evaluated.

Since question No.2 of answer sheet is smudged, the petitioner has been declared ineligible in view of instruction No.7.

This issue has already been decided by this Court in CWP

No.22918 of 2016 vide judgment dated 21.12.2016, which has been upheld by the LPA bench vide judgment dated 20.01.2017 passed in LPA No.92 of 2017. Thereafter, the said judgment has also been affirmed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court.

In view of the said fact, no direction is required to be issued in this petition.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

07.01.2019
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No