

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64823-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 24.1.2019

Sukhjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. C.B.Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Baath, Advocate for complainant.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Satnam Singh, has informed the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has been duly interrogated. She is no more required for custodial interrogation.

On the other hand, learned counsel for complainant has opposed the grant of bail on the ground that money is yet to be recovered.

Considering the fact that police does not want custody of the petitioner for custodial interrogation, interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 1.1.2019 is made absolute.

Petition stands allowed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

24.1.2019

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No