

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CWP No.40648 of 2018
Date of decision: 01.01.2019**

JyotiPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Tara Chand Dhanwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The petitioner, who was working as Clerk since 12.08.2016 and completed more than two years of service has challenged termination of her service effected vide order dated 20.12.2018 and relieving order dated 21.12.2018. The petitioner has interalia pleaded that despite posts of Clerk lying vacant, her services have been terminated but contractual period of two persons including Bhawna, who is junior to her, has been extended. Her services having been extended beyond one year could not be terminated till regular selected candidate is appointed. The petitioner has also claimed that she had made representations dated 21.12.2018 (Annexure P-5) and 24.12.2018 (Annexure P-6) to the Labour and Employment Minister, Haryana and Director General, Department of Employment, Haryana, Panchkula, respectively, but no action has been taken on the same.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General, Department of Employment, Haryana, Panchkula, to consider the representation dated 24.12.2018 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereon within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

01.01.2019

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No