

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Writ Petition No. 1148 of 2019

Date of Decision: December 02, 2019

Justin Mirsky

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Mehta, Advocate and
Mr. Harshit Agarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Shulinder Kumar, AAG Haryana

Mr. Amit Bhanot, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The matter has been put up before me in the post lunch session. Mr. Sachin Jain, learned Mediator, is present in person. He submits that there was not enough time to file report and, therefore, he puts in appearance in person. He submits that there are differences between the parties but they have both agreed that they would return to United States of America together on 07.12.2019 alongwith minor children as agreed vide agreement dated 01.11.2019. Thereafter, respondent No. 2 would return to India alongwith minor children in the first week of January 2020 and for this purpose they would board a flight from United States of America on 04.01.2020. Respondent No. 2 and the minor children would reside in India till June 2020 whereafter they will go back to United States of America.

The parties have also been heard and they endorse the statement of learned Mediator. They have agreed to the time schedule mentioned in the foregoing paragraph.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for and the petition stands disposed of. The minor children shall go back alongwith respondent No. 2.

December 02, 2019
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No