

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM No.M-64743 of 2018
Date of decision: 01.01.2019**

Kajal and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Lalit Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners
with the petitioners in person.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, performed marriage on 24.12.2018 at Shri Aadi Shakti Ved Pathshalla 215, Mansa Devi Market, M.D.C., Sector-4, Panchkula, as per Hindu rites and rituals against the wishes of parents and other relative of petitioner No.1, impleaded as respondents No.4 to 6 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Complete set of paper book has been supplied to learned State counsel.

The petitioners, who are major as per the documents including Aadhar Cards attached with the petition, are present in the Court and duly identified by their counsel. On being questioned by the Court, the petitioners have admitted having solemnized marriage and reiterated their prayer as mentioned in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 25.12.2018 (Annexure P-4) was made to the Superintendent of Police Yamunanagar, Gobind Pura, Yamunanagar seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to the Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar to look into the grievances of the petitioners expressed in the representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against petitioner No.2, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar to taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

01.01.2019

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No