

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-64749 of 2018
Date of Decision : 13.05.2019**

Sumit Sawhney

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 109 dated 11.08.2013 under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Phase XI, Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that he had obtained loan by mortgaging a plot, which was alleged to have mortgaged with another bank. He, however, contends that the petitioner has two plots and both the plots have been mortgaged with the two banks separately. He further contends that vide order dated 01.03.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jitender Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

CRM No. M-64749 of 2018

-2-

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner has joined investigation, interim order dated 01.03.2019 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 13, 2019

dinesh

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes

Whether reportable? No