

CRWP-8846-2018(O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8846-2018(O&M)
Date of decision: 08.11.2019**

Kamaljit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- None for the petitioner

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-mother has filed this petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus to get custody of Manmeet Singh (minor child born on 2.8.2013).

Pursuant to the notice, child-Manmeet Singh was produced in Court and on 10.1.2019 following order was passed:-

“Child-Manmeet Singh has been produced in Court today.

Respondents No.3 and 4 present in the Court submits that child-Manmeet Singh is in their custody and whereabouts of respondent No.2-Randhir Singh are not known to them for the last two-three months.

Petitioner present in the Court today is mother of child.

This Court has inquired from the child as to with whom he wants to go and reside. On this, the child has

stated that he wants to live with his mother. Respondents No.3 and 4, who are grand-parents of minor, submit that they have no objection, if custody of the child is handed over to petitioner, who is his mother.

Keeping in view the above facts, petitioner is allowed to take the custody of minor-Manmeet Singh, who is stated to be aged about 05 years.

Since the parties want to settle the dispute, therefore, they are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 21.01.2019.

Registry is directed to send the file before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court before the date fixed.

Adjourned to 13.02.2019, for awaiting the report of Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.”

Mediation between the parties failed.

Thereafter, neither the petitioner nor her counsel has been appearing in this case. On 2.7.2019, following order was passed:-

“In the interest of justice, adjourned to 08.08.2019.

Registry is directed to issue notice to learned counsel for the petitioner about the date fixed.

However, it is made clear that if none comes present on behalf of the petitioner on the date fixed, then the presence of the petitioner shall be compelled by this Court.”

Once again, position is same. Inspite of intimation, counsel for the petitioner is not present. It appears that the petitioner is no more interested in prosecuting the present petition.

Disposed of.

08.11. 2019	(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
rekha	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No