

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50114 of 2019.

Decided on:- November 29, 2019.

Parshant @ Lala.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Khandelwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.218 dated 25.07.2019 under Section 306 IPC registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the aforesaid FIR was registered at the behest of complainant Meena. Her daughter Preeti, who was a student of 12th class, had committed suicide on 24.07.2019 by hanging and the complainant had not suspected anybody for her death. Therefore, she never wanted to initiate any legal action against anyone.

He has referred to the conversation/chat between the deceased and the petitioner to contend that it is the deceased, who used to insist the petitioner for friendship and to meet her, which the petitioner was otherwise avoiding. The deceased had attained the age of majority. The petitioner is in custody since 03.08.2019 and no prosecution witness has been examined in the case.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Kulbir Singh, states that the various chats so attached with the petition are sufficient to establish that it is on the basis of the conduct of petitioner, the deceased had committed suicide.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

There is no allegation in the FIR against the petitioner to the effect that he had abetted the deceased to commit suicide. The various chats so attached with the petition do reflect that the petitioner and the deceased were in friendship. The petitioner is in custody since 03.08.2019 and the conclusion of trial in the case is likely to take long time as no prosecution witness has been examined in the case. Therefore, when the culpability of the petitioner is yet to be established during the trial, this Court finds that the petitioner deserves to be admitted on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not try to influence any prosecution witness directly or indirectly in any manner.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations in any manner.

November 29, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No