

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-64623 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:22.01.2019

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. LM Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused, namely, Raghbir Singh, from whom recovery was effected. Learned counsel further contends that co-accused-Raghbir Singh was also arrested and remained in custody. Learned counsel further contends that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner and in compliance of order dated 1.1.2019, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel.

In view of the above, interim order dated 1.1.2019 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is directed to join investigation, if required by the Investigating Officer.

January 22, 2019
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No