

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(128)

CWP-34428-2019

Date of Decision: November 26, 2019

Sardari Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the petitioner has already retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.06.2019, but all the benefits for which he became entitled, upon his retirement, have not been released to him so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that amount of Rs.2 lacs on account of gratuity has been released to the petitioner on 30.06.2019 and another amount of Rs.7,50,000/- has been paid on account of General Provident Fund on 15.07.2019 but still, an amount of Rs.10 lacs is due to be paid to the petitioner on account of the retiral benefits, which is being withheld by the respondents and that too without any valid justification.

The prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondent-Municipal Corporation, Pathankot for the release of the pensionary benefits forthwith.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 02.08.2019 (Annexure P-2) with respondent No.4 which is still pending consideration and the petitioner will

be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 02.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 02.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

November 26, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No