

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-34436 of 2019

Date of decision: 26.11.2019

Manjit Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surmukh Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that on being convicted by the competent Court of law vide order dated 20.03.2014, the services of the petitioner were terminated by the respondents vide order dated 09.05.2014. On an appeal against the said conviction, the petitioner was exonerated by the Appellate Court on 14.07.2017, after which the petitioner was reinstated in service by the respondents vide order dated 30.08.2018, but, by the time, the petitioner had already attained the age of superannuation. Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that during the period, the petitioner remained out of service, his juniors were promoted to the post of Assistant District Transport Officer and, therefore, once the petitioner has been reinstated in service, the petitioner was also entitled to be considered for the promotion from the date his juniors were promoted. Prayer of the petitioner is to issue direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion from the date his juniors were promoted to the post of Assistant District Transport Officer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which

is being claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted representation dated 22.07.2019 (Annexure P/6) with the respondents, (which is missing in the translated copy but available in the vernacular attached with the writ petition) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide representation dated 22.07.2019 (Annexure P/6) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

26.11.2019
aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |