

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.1579 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.03.2019**

Jarnail Singh @ Kala

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

[1]. Petitioner has preferred this second petition for grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.110 dated 29.05.2017 registered under Sections 17, 18, 19, 20 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 and Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station, Phase-1, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

[2]. The first petition i.e. CRM-M No.1243 of 2018 filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail was dismissed on merits along with other bunch of cases and detailed order was passed in CRM-M No.47690 of 2017 titled '*Sukhpreet Singh vs. State of Punjab*'. Thereafter, petition bearing CRM-M No.49189 of 2018

filed by the co-accused was also dismissed on merits vide order dated 16.01.2019.

[3]. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaspal Singh submitted that the trial is still pending and 01.04.2019 is the date fixed before the trial Court for prosecution evidence.

[4]. In view of gravity of offence, facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any fresh ground to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail. This petition is accordingly dismissed.

[5]. Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

March 28, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No