

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

CRM-M-50119 of 2019

DECIDED ON: November 27, 2019

ANIL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is an accused in a case under Section 302 IPC. His Nieces are allegedly getting married on 01.12.2019 and thus, he has sought interim bail. The trial Court rejected his prayer for interim bail but allowed him to attend the wedding on parole in custody.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has very little time left to apply for parole.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts the notice on behalf of the State-respondent. Let two copies of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-State to ensure that the petitioner attends the wedding of his nieces on 01.12.2019 and that parole is granted to him for the said purpose. However, the direction of the trial Court that during the parole period, the petitioner will be in custody, is upheld.

November 27, 2019

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No