

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 3928 of 2019

Date of Decision: 25.11.2019

J.J. Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dr. J. Ganesan

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

The petitioner filed a writ petition with a prayer that past service rendered by him in HSMITC and thereafter in Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board be counted for qualifying service for grant of pensionary benefits.

The writ petition was disposed of on 11.1.2019 on the statement of counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner will be satisfied if directions are issued to respondents to decide the representation dated 18.2.2018 afresh, in a time bound manner without relying upon the earlier rejection. The respondents were directed to decide the representation dated 18.2.2018. The relevant portion is reproduced below:-

"Keeping in view the request made, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 18.02.2018 (Annexure P-11) in view of the subsequent proceedings especially order passed by this Court mentioned above wherein, the relief has been granted to the similarly situated employees. The representation shall not be rejected on the ground that the similar relief was declined to the petitioner on 03.03.2014.

Let appropriate order be passed by the respondents within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him as per law within a period of two months thereafter."

The present petition has been filed pleading that needful has not been done by the respondent.

None has put in appearance on behalf of petitioner inspite of two pass-over.

The present contempt petition is disposed of with direction that let the needful be done by the respondent if not already done, within three months from receipt of copy of the order passed today. It is clarified that in case the needful is not done, costs may be imposed which shall be personally recoverable from the defaulting officer/official.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

25.11.2019
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No