

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-715-2019 (O & M)

Date of decision: 14.01.2019

Baljit Kaur Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. DPS Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that husband of the petitioner was working as Laboratory Technician Grade II in the respondent-department. He died in harness on 02.03.2009. Petitioner, thereafter, applied for appointment on compassionate grounds in view of death of her husband. The respondent-department informed the petitioner that the post of MPHWP (Female) was under a Centrally Sponsored Scheme and was not regular in nature. She was, thus, offered the post of Sewadar, which she accepted and has been working for last more than 08 years. Her only grievance is that another widow namely Prabhjit Kaur (respondent No.4 herein) has recently i.e. on 08.02.2018 has been appointed as MPHWP.

I find no merit in the plea of the petitioner. The appointment of respondent No.4 against the post of MPHWP (Female) does not give right to the petitioner to claim the same benefit on the plea that the petitioner possesses similar qualifications. Besides, she accepted the post of Sewadar

and has been working on the said post since 21.05.2010. In my considered view, the plea of the petitioner is totally misconceived. Petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

January 14, 2019
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No

