

CRM-M-2077-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2077-2019

Date of Decision: 24.01.2019

Ramesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K.Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that name of the petitioner has been wrongly typed as Ramesh Kumar instead of Ramesh Chander. He orally requests that name of the petitioner be corrected as Ramesh Chander in the memo of parties.

Request allowed.

Registry is directed to make necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.75 dated 17.03.2018, registered at Police Station Sadar Gohana, District Sonipat, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

CRM-M-2077-2019

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner was posted as Patwari. As per the allegations, he has changed the ownership in the revenue records regarding the land measuring 4 kanals.

The petitioner has been in custody since 23.05.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

24.01.2019

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No