

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.50317 of 2019
Date of decision: 3rd December, 2019

Daljit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Satbir S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Allegations against the petitioner Daljit Singh in this first regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case got registered by way of FIR No.151 dated 10.07.2019 under Section 306 read with Section 34 IPC pertaining to Police Station Patran, District Patiala have been levelled by complainant Satnam Singh father of deceased, an unmarried girl aged around 25 years. The background of this case stems from the fact that a complaint was lodged by Amanpreet Kaur wife of the present petitioner against the deceased on 06.07.2019 alleging that the deceased used to send her wrong messages as well as upload tampered photographs of the family of the petitioner with an oblique motive. It is during the course of investigations in this complaint when the police visited the house of the deceased, she committed suicide by means of hanging.

Learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate inter alia submits that there is no specific role attributed to the petitioner in commission of the offence and it is the own doing of the deceased which has forced her to take such a drastic step.

On behalf of the State, Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from HC Gulab Singh, with all fairness concedes to the facts that have been canvassed by learned counsel for the petitioner but has opposed the grant of bail on the grounds that if allowed bail the petitioner might influence the trial.

Going through the submissions of the two sides and the simplicitor allegations do not spell out any specific role against the petitioner in commission of the offence. Culpability, if any, is a matter of trial which is not likely to conclude in the near future. In view thereof, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody in the present case. Accordingly, he is ordered to be released on regular bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Patiala. However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 3, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No