

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.34062 of 2019
Date of Decision : 22.11.2019.

Rajesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Claim in the writ petition is for regularization of services of the petitioner as Class-IV employee w.e.f. 01.10.2003 in view of instructions Annexure P/1 dated 01.10.2003.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been representing for regularization of services and lastly served legal notice Annexure P/6 dated 01.10.2019 but no heed has been paid on his request/legal notice and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in the legal notice Annexure P/6 dated 01.10.2019, in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Without commenting on the merits of the claim and in view of the innocuous nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice Annexure P/6 dated 01.10.2019, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

22.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No