

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-RS No.3 of 2019 (O&M) in
RSA No.3484 of 2013
Date of Decision.14.01.2019**

Veena Goyal ...Appellant

Vs

Vaneet Kumar ...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Ms. Ritam Aggarwal, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The arguments raised by the counsel for the review applicant-applicant tantamount to reagitating the issue, which is not the scope of the review as the ingredients of Section 114 and Order 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure do not envisage such grounds. There has to be an error apparent on the face of record, which has not been brought to the notice of this Court, much less, it tantamounts to re-agitate the points which have been raised and addressed, in view of the ratio decidendi culled out by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Tamilnadu Terminated Full Time Temporary LIC Employees Association Vs. S.K. Roy, The Chairman, Life Insurance Corporation of India and another**” 2016 (9) SCC 366.

The review petition is dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

January 14, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether Reasoned/Speaking Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No