

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(104)

CWP-2100-2019

Date of Decision: February 01, 2019

Kanta Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is seeking the promotion to the post of Superintendent Grade-II from the date when she became eligible. The petitioner retired from service on 30.11.2017 by the said date, she had not been promoted.

It has been contended by counsel for the petitioner that though the posts were lying vacant but still her case was not considered for promotion.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation to respondent No.2 on 21.09.2018 (Annexure P-12) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 21.09.2018 (Annexure P-12) by passing appropriate orders.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 21.09.2018 (Annexure P-12), the present writ

petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 21.09.2018 (Annexure P-12) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 01, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No