

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-64487-2018

Date of Decision:14.01.2019

Joni

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.258 dated 29.10.2018 under Sections 363, 366-A IPC, registered at Police Station Ambala Sadar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the aforesaid FIR was registered at the behest of Karnail Singh, who is father of Ranjana. The petitioner along with Ranjana has approached this Court by way of CRM-M-49720-2018, seeking protection to their lives and liberty contending therein that they have married against the wishes of their family members. The complainant was arrayed as a respondent in the said petition and vide order dated 13.11.2018, this Court without granting any seal of approval regarding the validity of the marriage, granted protection to the petitioner and Ranjana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that Ranjana has appeared before the court of JMIC, Ambala, on 20.11.2018 and made a

statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. to the effect that she got married with the petitioner at her own will.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from SI Rajpal states that as on date, Ranjana is with her parents, but she was minor at the time when protection-petition was filed and therefore, the petitioner has misled Ranjana.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 20.11.2018, coupled with the fact that the petitioner along with Ranjana has approached this Court by way of CRM-M-49720-2018 and sought protection from this Court as well as noticing the statement of Ranjana recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and trial in the case will take sufficient long time, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

January 14, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No