

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 13 of 2019
Date of decision: 14.8.2019

Balbir Singh @ Balvir Singh

.. Petitioner

v.

Vikas Gupta

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the parties.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 18.8.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 20656 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Director, State Transport, Punjab, Jeevandeep Building, 1st Floor, Sector 17-A, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated June 13, 2017 (P-3) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules and instructions issued by the Government from time to time within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.”

Today, Jaswinderpal Singh, Law Officer, Punjab Roadways (PUNBUS), Ludhiana is present in court and has produced order dated 4.4.2019 whereby the petitioner has been given continuity of service and all the monetary benefits. The copy of said order is taken on record. It has

further been stated that monetary benefits have been released to the petitioner.

Tejinder Singh Dhaliwal, Special Secretary, Director, State Transport, Punjab is present in court. He tenders an unconditional apology for delay in compliance of the order of this court as same occurred on account of in-action of the certain officials of the respondent-department. He further states that the Government has given approval to issue charge-sheet and appropriate action would be taken against defaulting officials.

In view of the order produced in court today and the unconditional apology tendered, no further action is taken in the present contempt petition. The same is disposed of. However, the department shall inform this court with regard to the outcome of the charge sheet/disciplinary proceedings taken against the defaulting officials.

However, it is made clear that in case there is any discrepancy in the reply filed, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the present contempt petition.

Rule is discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

14.8.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No