

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-2571-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.02.2019

Murari Lal

--Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran
Nigam & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondents.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Pleadings on record would reveal that a demand of Rs.1,91,880/- was raised upon the petitioner by the respondent-Nigam. Ultimately petitioner raised the matter before the Appellate Authority under the Nigam itself and such appeal stands dismissed vide order dated 30.11.2018 (Annexure P-1) by respondent no.4.

Perusal of the order passed by the Appellate Authority would reveal that it is a non-speaking/cryptic order and totally bereft of any reason.

On the last date of hearing i.e. 30.1.2019 a complete copy of the case paper book had been furnished to Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for the respondent-Nigam and for him to complete instructions.

During the course of resumed hearing today, Mr. Manchanda very fairly states that the matter be remanded back to the Appellate Authority for passing of an order afresh.

In view of the above, the order dated 30.11.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent no.4/Appellate Authority is set aside. Matter is remanded back to the Appellate Authority for passing of an order afresh and

after affording to the petitioner an opportunity of hearing. A speaking order upon reconsideration be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

04.02.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No