

233

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-11990-2019

Date of decision: 20.08.2019

Manjyot Singh Uppal

.. Petitioner

Versus

Meena Chaudhary

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Dr. Payal Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner with
petitioner in person.

Mr. Rachit Kaushal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present case is an offshoot of a matrimonial dispute in which apart from other litigation, the petition under Section 13 (B), Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 is pending for the second statement. The matter was settled and the husband was to pay ` 30,00,000/- . ` 15,00,000/- has already been paid to the wife and ` 15,00,000/- is pending which is to be paid on second motion. It appears that minor issue arises with regard to Visa and renewal of the passport of the minor child of couple who is a USA citizen.

The parties are present in Court today and verified by their respective counsel. They have resolved the matter and filed two affidavits in Court today stating that they would move an application before the Family Court, Mohali for pre-ponment of date as the matter for second motion is fixed for 03.09.2019. They will appear on 26.08.2019 for second motion. The husband will pay the remaining amount there. The apprehension of the wife is that certain signatures or formalities would be

required even after passing of the decree of divorce for visa and renewal purposes. The same is taken care of in view of the affidavit filed by the husband in Court today that he will ensure his presence even after passing of decree of divorce to complete the formality, if any, for visa and renewal of the minor child.

It is further requested that husband would inform at least three days prior to the date so that he will ensure his presence.

In view of the above affidavits, the contempt petition is disposed of. The parties would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition in case, the contents of the affidavits filed today are not adhered to.

It is further made clarified that in case, any of the party is forced to revive the contempt petition, an exemplary costs may be imposed.

20th August, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No