

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49634-2019
Date of decision: 26.11.2019**

Shakuntala Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 547 dated 07.11.2019, registered under Sections 323, 332, 353, 186, 506 and 34 of the IPC at Police Station HMT Hisar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of lady ASI Usha Kumari, on 07.11.2019, she was present in aforesaid police station and two parties, i.e. Raj Kumar etc. and Sonia etc., were called for investigation regarding complaint case Nos. 392 and 395 dated 31.10.2019. When the complainant along with SI Phul Kumar were investigating from both the parties, aforesaid Sonia had shown a video on a mobile phone regarding the quarrel taken place between the parties, aforesaid Raj Kumar, his son and wife Shakuntala Devi (petitioner herein) tried to snatch the mobile phone and when the complainant objected, the petitioner caught hold of the complainant from her neck and started hurling abuses against the complainant and also twisted her hand and threatened to kill. Thereafter, all

the accused persons gave fist, slap and leg blows to the complainant and in the meantime, other two ladies, who were accompanying them, also gave slap blows to complainant. Thereafter, the MLR of the complainant was conducted and the present FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and the injuries sustained by the complainant are simple in nature.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Kulbir Singh, has, however, opposed the prayer of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner along with others has manhandled with the complainant who was on duty and was performing her official duties. It is further submitted that the petitioner and other accused caused injuries to the complainant in order to restrain her from performing her duties in a fair and impartial manner.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I do not find any ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner considering the serious allegations against her and also in view of the fact that she has caused injuries to the complainant, who is a lady ASI in Haryana Police and was performing her official duties.

Petition stands dismissed.

26.11.2019

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No