

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49568-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.11.2019

Sumit Kumar Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate and
Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.118 dated 29.10.2019 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 193 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Jagadhari.

Allegations are that the property of the grandmother-Shakuntla Devi, has been transferred by father of the petitioner on the basis of alleged power of attorney in favour of the petitioner. In the year 2009, when this fact came to the notice of other family members, Subhash Chander Gupta, father of the petitioner, gave an undertaking that he had not misused the power of attorney. Even wife of the petitioner had given an affidavit dated 25.09.2009 that the aforesaid alleged power of attorney had not been

utilized. However, now it has come to the notice that Subhash Chander Gupta, father of the petitioner, has transferred two properties in the name of petitioner-Sumit Kumar Gupta in the year 2005.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

22.11.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No