

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 34341 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 12.12.2019**

Meenakshi

...Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

...Respopndents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Advocate,
for respondent-Union of India.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the revised answer key (Annexure P-4) qua question ID 91394313641, 91394313647, 91394313651, 91394313693. The petitioner also raised objections vide communication AnnexureP-7 (colly) followed by legal notice dated 07.03.2019 (Annexure P-8).

2. Notice of motion.
3. On advance service of copy of petition, Mr. Sudhir Nar, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents.
4. It is settled position in law that once the domain experts have applied their mind on the objections filed by the petitioner, this Court cannot substitute its discretion over the

opinion expressed by the experts. In the present case, the petitioner had submitted her objections vide communication contained at Annexure P-7 (colly) as well as legal notice (Annexure P-8) and the same has been duly addressed by the competent authority of the respondents/National Testing Agency.

5. In my opinion, no interference from this Court in exercise of extraordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is called for.

6. The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 12, 2019
shalini

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable : | Yes/No |