

**239 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 40315 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.01.2019.

M/s Kissan Rice Mills

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. JPS Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 5 & 6.

Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that in pursuance to order dated 21.12.2018, the petitioner was summoned by respondent No.2. The petitioner has sought time to place on record certain documents in support of his case.

Learned State counsel submits that on receipt of the documents, the case of the petitioner for allocation of paddy will be considered by the Expert Committee.

Learned counsel for respondent No.7 states that last date for signing the agreement was 25.09.2018.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that

in the identical cases, the allocation of paddy was made to certain

millers of District Faridkot in pursuance to clause 10(IV) of the Punjab Custom Milling of Paddy Policy (Kharif 2018-19) (Annexure P-2) whereas, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 states that the mill of the petitioner has been declared sub-standard and the symbolic possession has been taken by the bank and request for physical possession is already under process.

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, Expert Committee is directed to take into consideration all the relevant facts narrated above and take a final decision on the claim of the petitioner for allocation of paddy expeditiously as per law.

Disposed of.

29.01.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No