

225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.9949 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.07.2019

Satyavir Singh

Petitioner

Versus

J. Ganesan (IAS), Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agriculture
Marketing Board, Sector-6, Panchkula (Haryana).

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. S.K. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading
deliberate disobedience of order dated 18.04.2018 passed by this
Court in CWP No.9136 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

*“3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the
case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to
respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the
petitioner in legal notice dated 15.12.2017 (P-3) and to decide
the same in terms of judgments (P-6 to P-9) mentioned above
within a period of three months from the date of receipt of
certified copy of this order.*

*4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any
order of the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to
approach this Court.”*

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed reply today in the Court, same is taken on record, copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner. Alongwith the reply, the decision dated 28.06.2019 taken on the legal notice of the petitioner is annexed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that there is grievance against the order.

Vide order dated 18.04.2018, the petitioner has already been granted liberty to approach this Court.

As no cause of action survives for contempt, the rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

Disposed of.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

July 03, 2019
pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes
2. Whether reportable	:	No