

CWP-38185-2018 (O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-38185-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.01.2019

Vinod Kumar

... Petitioner

vs.

Union of India and Others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J

CM-1098-CWP-2019

The present application has been filed for placing on record Annexure P-20 to P-24, in compliance of order dated 21.12.2018.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Annexures P-20 to P-24 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-38185-2018

In the present writ petition, the claim is for the grant of family pension and *ex-gratia* dues to the petitioner, who is the son of Sh. Nand Kishore, who died, while he was in service, on 10.07.2009.

As per the averments made in the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that despite the fact that Zila Sainik Board, Sirsa, after due inquiry, has found that the petitioner is the son of late Nand Kishore, still, the benefits have not been released to him as the case is not been taken to the logical end for passing appropriate orders for the grant of benefit to the petitioner.

It has been stated in the present writ petition that all the requirements, as asked by respondents No. 1 to 3, have already been complied

CWP-38185-2018 (O&M)

-2-

with by the petitioner still, no final decision has been taken by respondents no. 1 to 3 for grant of benefits.

The present writ petition is disposed of by giving direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to pass an appropriate order with regard to the claim which has been made by the petitioner in respect of grant of family pension and *ex-gratia* dues to be paid to him being disabled son of Nand Kishore (deceased).

Let appropriate order be passed in this regard within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same should also be released to him within a period of three months thereafter. It is however, made clear that, at this stage, this Court expresses no opinion on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner with regard to grant of relief as being prayed in the present writ petition.

28.01.2019
smriti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No