

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.64347 of 2018

Date of decision: 6th September, 2019

Amandeep Singh Sadana & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with his counsel
Mr. Sudhir Sharma, Advocate.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent No.1/State.

Respondent No.2 in person along with her counsel
Mr. Sanjay Tangri, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 10.04.2019 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, SAS Nagar (Mohali) has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Diljeet Kaur and the accused persons namely Amandeep Singh Sadana, Parvinder Pal Singh and Mahinderjit Kaur, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to end the dispute and have made statements that they have compromised the matter as per compromise

deeds (Annexures P2 and P3) dated 01.08.2018 and 21.11.2018 and shall abide by the same.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.0018 dated 28.09.2018 under Sections 406, 498A, 120B, 420 IPC pertaining to Police Station NRI Cell, Mohali and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 6, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No