

233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49671 of 2019**

**Date of decision: December 03, 2019**

Parveen alias Thanedar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan K. Garg, AAG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner, in FIR No.265 dated 14.06.2019, under Sections 307, 120-B, 506, 212 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurugram.

As per prosecution case, due to previous enmity with the complainant, petitioner along with others fired shots towards his house with an intention to kill him, which hit on the cooler, doors as well as wall thereof. They also fired 10-15 shots on the house of his uncle, namely Virender.

Contentends that the petitioner is in custody since 15.06.2019 and after investigation, in the matter, challan has already been presented.

Also contends that charges were framed on 26.11.2019 and now the case

is pending for 16.12.2019. Further contends that even five other co-accused, with similar allegations, have already been granted the concession of bail pending trial by learned trial Court.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him.

Since the investigation is already over and now the case is fixed for prosecution evidence and more particularly, five other co-accused have already been granted bail pending, thus, trial will take sufficient long time. Therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, in this case, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petitioner shall fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**December 03, 2019**  
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether Reportable: Yes/No