

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64479 of 2018.

Decided on:- January 10, 2019.

Gaurav.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.*

Present:- Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Section 482 Cr.PC for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect his life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent No.4 on 13.01.2017 and no child was born out of this wedlock. However, there being some temperamental differences between them, they started living separately since 05.07.2017. The respondent No.4 got registered an FIR No.965 dated 23.08.2018 under Sections 323, 354, 498-A, 506 and 511 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Karnal. Thereafter, a Panchayati compromise was arrived at between them as Annexure P-2 (though no date is mentioned). Accordingly, a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 seeking a decree of divorce by way of mutual consent was preferred by the petitioner and respondent No.2 and their statements on first motion were recorded before the trial Court on 11.09.2018. Despite the matter having been compromised

between the parties, the father of respondent No.4 has started threatening the petitioner and his family for which the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 28.10.2018 to the Inspector General of Police, Karnal.

He further states that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal to consider the aforesaid representation.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On asking of this Court, Ms. Mahima Yashpal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of official respondents No.1 to 3.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Heard.

Without observing anything on the merits of the case and noticing the allegations of threat part as extended by the father of respondent No.4, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal to look into the contents of representation dated 28.10.2018 (Annexure P-6) and to act in accordance with law.

January 10, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No