

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 40353 of 2018.

Date of Decision: 30.01.2019.

Jaswinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Bus Stand Management Company Limited and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner set out in the legal notice dated 10.11.2018 (Annexure P-9)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- the Managing Director, Punjab State Bus Stand Management Company Limited, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 10.11.2018 (Annexure P-9).

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.

Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-the Managing Director, Punjab State Bus Stand Management Company Limited, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 10.11.2018 (Annexure P-9) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

30.01.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No