

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-33843-2019

Date of decision: - 21.11.2019

Girraj Prasad Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: - Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner argues that the benefit, which has been sought by the petitioner in the present writ petition, was also denied to one Sh. Ved Parkash Sharma by passing an identical order on 11.07.2018 and Sh. Ved Parkash Sharma filed a contempt petition being COCP No.1046 of 2018 and during the pendency of the said proceedings, the arrears for the period from 2005 till 2007 for working on the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer, has also been extended to him and therefore, on the same analogy, petitioner is also entitled for the said benefit.

Counsel for the petitioner states that after passing of the order dated 08.10.2018 (Annexure P-9), petitioner served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 16.10.2019 (Annexure P-16) bringing these facts to their notice, but no action has been taken so far and the said

legal notice is still pending consideration with the respondents. Counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 16.10.2019 (Annexure P-16) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 21, 2019
naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes

Whether reportable?

No