

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-33852-2019

Date of decision: - 21.11.2019

Ved Parkash Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: - Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner argues that keeping in view the order passed by this Court in case of similarly situated employees in CM No.141-CWP of 2019 in CWP No.15794 of 2013 titled as '**Ram Kishan Mittal and others Vs. State of Haryana and others**', wherein, the interest @ 8% has been awarded on the arrears, the petitioner is also entitled for the said benefits.

Counsel for the petitioner prays for issuance of an appropriate direction to the respondents to grant the petitioner the benefit of interest as granted to the similarly situated employees keeping in view the order passed by this Court in **Ram Kishan Mittal's case (supra)**.

Counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has

been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 12.02.2019 (Annexure P-12), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 12.02.2019 (Annexure P-12) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 12.02.2019 (Annexure P-12), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 12.02.2019 (Annexure P-12) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

November 21, 2019
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes

Whether reportable?

No