

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7464 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.11.2019

Neelam

...Petitioner

V/s.

Shakti Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI.

Present: Mr. Sumit Kalyan, Advocate for
Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral).

The petitioner has come up in revision against the order dated 14.12.2018 (Annexure P-3) whereby his application under Order 21 Rule 32 CPC for punishing judgment debtor for willfully and intentionally disobeying the decree dated 20.05.2014 has been dismissed.

While dismissing the application, the trial Court has observed in para 6 that apart from oral deposition of the applicant as AW1, no evidence has been led to show that after breaking the locks of her room, dispossessed her and did not allow her to live in her portion which was under her possession. Even the certified copy of judgment dated 20.05.2014 has not been placed on record by the applicant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to cite any judgment to prove that only on oral examination, application under Order 21 Rule 32 CPC can be allowed.

Hence, no ground to interfere in the order dated 14.12.2018 (Annexure P-3) is made out.

Dismissed.

25.11.2019

Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:

:

Yes/No
Yes/No