

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-62129-2018

Date of Decision: 07.03.2019

Narinder Arora and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

Bank of Baroda

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate for the respondent.

...

Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioners Narinder Arora and Salil Arora have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in complaint case No.78/2016 dated 16.06.2016 titled as “Bank of Baroda Vs. Rakesh Kumar and others” filed under Sections 406/420/466/467/468/471/120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned counsel for respondent- Bank of Baroda has appeared and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

The perusal of the record shows that Bank of Baroda respondent has filed complaint against the present petitioners along with other accused.

The present petitioners have been summoned in the complaint case. They are not required for investigation or custodial interrogation.

They are only to face trial. In compliance of order dated 21.12.2018, the petitioners have already surrendered before the trial Court and have been released on interim bail and they are appearing regularly before the trial Court, as argued.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 21.12.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

07.03.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No