

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5007-2019

Date of decision: 25.02.2019

Hans Raj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that after being promoted, benefit of increment has not been granted to the petitioner. Some other affected employees had approached this Court vide judgment dated 03.10.2016 in CWP-3922-2011, the writ petition was allowed (Annexure P-9) and it was held that the petitioners would be entitled increment 3% of basic pay under Rule 13 (1) of the Haryana Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008 and administrative instructions dated 01.06.2015 and 20.08.2018 (Annexures P-5 and P-6) overruling statutory rules framed under Article 309 of the Constitution of India. He further states that pursuant to this judgment (Annexure P-9) has attained finality and thereafter Government vide instruction dated 15.03.2011 (Annexure P-10) had given general instructions all the Department to grant the benefit of re-fixation of pay after granted additional increment.

The petitioner has now given representation dated 15.06.2018 (Annexure P-11) to respondents No. 1, 2 and 3.

This petition is being disposed of at this stage with the directions to respondent No. 2 to consider the representation (Annexure P-11) read with instructions dated 15.03.2018 (Annexure P-10) and passed appropriate orders in accordance with law within a period of four months.

25th February, 2019

shabha

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No